

OTTAWA TOWN BOARD

Meeting Minutes June 10, 2024

The meeting of the Ottawa Town Board was called to order by Chairman Rupp.

Roll call attendance was taken and Town Supervisor Ahrens, Jones and Wiedenman were present.

Supervisor Rupp announced to all those present the fact that the Board intends to convene themselves in closed session to consider employment, promotion, compensation or performance evaluation data of any public employee over which the governmental body has jurisdiction or exercises responsibility, requiring a closed session pursuant to Section 19.85 (1)c) and deliberating or negotiating the purchase of public property, the investing of public funds, or conducting other specified business, whenever competitive or bargaining reasons require a closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1)e). Specifically, the Town Board will discuss Town employee wage considerations and consideration of the Western Lakes Fire District Intermunicipal Agreement. Upon motion, duly made and seconded and acted upon by roll call vote of the majority of the members present, pursuant to section 19.85(1)c) and 19.85(1)e), Wis Statutes, and Executive Session will take place. At the conclusion of the Executive Session, the Town Board will reconvene in Open Session to take action on two matters, 1. Town employee wage considerations and 2. Consideration of the Western Lakes Fire District Intermunicipal Agreement.

Supervisor Wiedenman made a motion to accept the Building Inspector's monthly activity report as presented. His motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones and carried.

Supervisor Wiedenman made a motion to accept the WLFD report as presented. His motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones and carried

Supervisor Jones made a motion to accept the Treasurer's monthly financial activity report as presented. His motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried.

Under public comment, the following residents spoke:

Karen Russell, State Road 67, spoke in favor of the wake boat ban. She stated Hunters Lake is an asset and the wake boats cause such large waves that toss others on the lake, toss boats and causes equipment damage.

Dawn Bourdo, State Road 67, spoke about how those large waves toss her grandson around when swimming.

Sherry Emery, Tallgrass Ct., states that the Preserve board, which owns 40% of the Hunters Lake shoreline, endorse the ban to protect the shoreline.

Kevin Kubalish, Woodstream Ct, has seen photo evidence of damage done on the lake. He said Hunters Lake could be a magnet for more wake boats without an ordinance.

Alex Erlien, State Road 67, is in favor of the ban. Some people are good and follow the rules, but need to plan for the lowest denominator. A majority of lake residents are in favor of the ban.

Denise Woykak, State Road 67, DNR decides the rules. Homeowners have a right to use the lake. Lakes are for public use. State law and DNR should decide this.

Doug Furey, Hunters Lake Rd, showed a photo of Hunter's lake when it was two lakes, upper and lower. Erosion has joined the two lakes into one.

Joyce Brahm, Hunters Lake Rd, agrees with state law to allow lakes to be used publicly.

Elizabeth Wozinski, State Road 67, Conservation congress recommends ban at lake size of 1500 acres or less. Hunters lake is too small and showing damage, affecting piers, shoreline, birds, fish and clear water.

Carol Valkeil, State Road 67, supports ban. Property damage, too small of a lake, prohibits others using the lake when the enhanced waves are there.

Mark Bretl, Pretty Lake Rd., supports bans, this isn't about taking away people's rights. Those boats are too big for these small lakes.

William Bosenbecker, Parry Road, in favor of ban, wake boats affect canoe and kayak use, fisheries.

Fred Russell, State Road 67, is a red cross lifeguard. Waves from the boats are unsafe. Wake enhanced boats are new. Owner does try to keep to east side of lake which is appreciated, but still damaging. Would love to try wakeboarding, but not on Hunters Lake.

Ann Bretl, Pretty Lake Rd., State law and our ordinance would conflict, she thinks local ordinance would supersede this. One wake boat affects weeds and the bottom of the lake.

Kathy Sadowski, Lake Dr., believes a majority in a petition support ban. The lakes are too shallow, and the ballast tank in the boat can carry invasive species.

Randy Cavaiani, Pretty Lake Rd., agrees with the invasive species statement. Cannot clean out the ballasts.

Jill Bedford, Hunters Lake Road. All three lakes have an alliance. SEWRPC scientists and biologist state, based on photographic records, that concerns with wake boats on the lakes ecology, including erosion, water quality, aquatic plant life, increased invasive species are considered to be valid.

Nimish Valkeil, State Road 67, there is a significant safety issue in regards to these boats including damage to shoreline, safety of swimmers, paddle boarders, kayaks. The wake boats restrict and control on others rights.

Patricia Puccinelli, Hwy 67, the state is putting significant resources into this topic. She supports following restrictions as designed by the state.

Tim Wiedenbauer spoke on ATV routes ordinance. Section V, #6 states that a 12 yr. old does not need helmet for fishing or hunting and should be changed.

Debra Tarnow, State Road 67, opposes the ban. The three lakes initiative was not representative of the Hunters Lake Association. There is no enforcement, no lake patrol. Hunters lake is not the same as School Section Lake or Pretty Lake, it has 30' depth in a portion of the lake.

Ron Weissenberger, Hunters Lake Road, opposes Wake enhancement initiative.

Lukas Holzbauer, State Road 67, there are experts on both sides of this topic. He'd be happy to share information if the board would like to discuss with him.

Tim Buchis, Pretty Lake Rd., in favor of ban to keep Pretty Lake pretty.

Jean Bosenbecker, Parry Road, supports the ban.

A Waukesha County Sheriff was present. No questions or comments were made.

The next item on the agenda was for Approval of Combination Class B fermented malt beverages and intoxicating liquor license for Rick Callies, Agent, at the Kettle Moraine Golf Club, W365S4299 State Road 67, Dousman WI. Supervisor Jones made a motion to approve the license. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Wiedenman and carried.

The next item on the agenda was for approval of applications for licenses to serve fermented malt beverages and intoxicating liquor. A list of applicants was provided to the board and background checks were completed. Supervisor Jones made a motion to approve the applications. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried.

Pete Krager, Pretty Lake, gave a presentation to support a ban of artificially enhanced wakes. The powerful wave produced has environmental impacts, causes safety concerns. 22 municipalities and 100's of lakes throughout the state have enacted a ban, there is much public support. 83% of Pretty Lake residents support the ban with 68 in favor and 8 opposed. School section lake residents have a super majority support for the ban. Hunters Lake, an individual went door to door and found 25 in favor 1 opposed. This would take signage, education and citizen complaints to enforce. Chairman Rupp shared that the Town Attorney believes we should wait as the State will look into this topic in January of 2025. Supervisor Jones spoke with the DNR regarding if we have the authority to address this. Some things we do have authority to write, and some things we do not. If the Town does wish to write an ordinance, the DNR would like us to submit a draft, lake studies and water quality. The DNR will review to decide if it is appropriate or not. If the DNR does create their own ordinance, ours would then just default to their law. Supervisor Wiedenman stated the DNR requires up to 60 days to review. Supervisor Ahrens stated that some information was received a half hour before the meeting with conflicting

information and it should be reviewed. Supervisor Wiedenman stated he sees that there is more support for the ban of artificially enhanced wakes than there is against. He feels that the State may be discussing but could take 2-5 years to act on it. Too much damage could potentially be done in that amount of time. Supervisor Jones says that there are plausible, logical risks. We've created speed hours, so it seems logical that we can do this. Given the fact that we have that authority. There are public boat launches, can't see inside the ballasts for weeds. They can't clean the ballast, the size of wakes, pressure, design of the boat. He says it seems logical to restrict the usage of a part of a boat. If you want to use a wake boat with a big wake, then go to a bigger lake. Supervisor Ahrens stated that the lakes here are not big enough to support pressure of these boats when used in the wake enhancing mode. Chairman Rupp asked the Clerk if there were ordinances out there we could use to draft? Clerk Geyman responded yes and was asked if she could put together ordinance drafts for the next meeting. Supervisor Jones made a motion to restrict wake boat mode operation on the three lakes, Pretty Lake, School Section Lake and Hunter's Lake, pending a draft ordinance for attorney review. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Wiedenman and carried.

The next item was a request for fireworks on Pretty Lake. Supervisor Wiedenman made a motion to table this item to Wednesday, June 12th to give time for the association to provide a rain date. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried. Supervisor Jones suggested that in a conversation with the association, point out that this is the second year in a row they have not requested a rain date. In the future the board will only approve the firework date and no rain date. Clerk Geyman shared that she had to reach out to the association, as no one had come forward with a fireworks request. She knows it is enjoyed by all the residents who attend, and thanked Mr. Kraeger for his help in getting her contact information.

Discussion was had regarding the draft ATV/UTV ordinance. Chairman Rupp pointed out that the ordinance should state ATV/UTV in a consistent manner throughout the ordinance, as some places just say ATV. Supervisor Jones wanted to review the section Mr. Wiedenbauer mentioned in public comment, regarding no helmet required if fishing or hunting. Mr. Wiedenbauer stated that if a 12-year-old has a fishing pole with them, they could drive down the road without a helmet. Supervisor Jones can't think of a rational or plausible reason why someone should not have to wear a helmet. The only thing he could speculate was with hunting it would have to be blaze orange. He continued that maybe this is a loophole we should eliminate, for example, everyone could have a fake gun, a nerf gun strapped to their ATV because they are only 12 years old and they don't want to wear a helmet. I don't see the purpose of having that section in the ordinance, and we should strike this exception.

Chairman Rupp referred to the section on requiring a driver's license that she does not agree with. She referred to the Attorney's information that we cannot say that they must possess a valid driver's license. Supervisor Jones stated that one of the criteria is that it should be legal. He would argue that it is subjective if it's illegal. The attorney also said don't reinvent the wheel, copy someone else's ordinance. We are simply copying. The ordinance, in his mind, is not only the driver's license, but as a parent, if the ordinance says a driver's license is required, I now have an argument with my 14-year-old that the ordinance says a driver's license is required. Requiring a driver's license, then he knows they have been trained to be on the road. A 12- or 14-year-old does not understand the other drivers on the road. Whether it is enforceable or not, it

helps the parents be enforceable, it sets a standard. Chairman Rupp stated safety certificates are required to operate. Supervisor Wiedenman added that at the many ATV/UTV meetings that were attended, it was also pointed out that someone with multiple OWI's could still operate an ATV, as this doesn't affect their ability to drive an ATV as no license is required. Requiring a driver's license is one additional way to try to deter that. Chairman Rupp stated that if a 15-year-old gets a ticket for driving an ATV, is the Town not responsible for that? Clerk Geyman stated that the question, more or less, is liability. Everyone wants the roads safe, and most would agree a driver's license is a really good idea, but at what liability cost to the Town in writing a legal ordinance. If a family wants to ATV to Dousman for dinner, as a fun family activity, and the 15-year-old gets a ticket, some parents would get very upset with that. If an adult with 8 DUI's lost his license and wants to take their ATV to the bar, and they know the State law allows for this, would we get sued if he receives a ticket. At what point do we accept liability, writing something that our attorney has advised against as well. It goes against State Statutes. It's just a liability question, 16 years old and a driver's license may be a great idea, but Statutes and our oaths of office requires us to follow the law. Supervisor Wiedenman asked the Clerk if the attorney advised against this as a liability to the Town. Are we more exposed to liability if we put this requirement in than if we don't. Clerk Geyman answered that it is directly going against State Statutes. State Statutes does not allow us to write an ordinance requiring a drivers license. She added that she had spoken to our Representative Cindy Duchow about this as well. Ms. Duchow would like to see the State visit this and allow the Driver's License to become a municipal option and to local control. It's just not there yet. Could that be changed in the future, hopefully yes. Supervisor Jones doesn't think it's logical that it would be creating a liability by also requiring a driver's license. There is no case in the State of Wisconsin of a community that has a driver's license as a requirement who lost. There's no evidence. So, he says put it in. Everything has got to be opinion until it's tested in a court of law. He doesn't want 12-year-olds on the roads.

Chairman Rupp read from a letter from the Town Attorney dated February 22, 2024, stating that WI Stat. 23.33 does not state there is any requirement to hold a driver's license to operate an ATV. In its "2022 Wisconsin All-Terrain/Utility Terrain Vehicle Laws," the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR) clarified this on page 16 by stating the following: "A state motor vehicle driver's license is not required to operate an ATV or UTV in Wisconsin" Supervisor Jones interjected saying they said it wasn't required, but didn't say we can't put it in. There's no law saying we don't have the authority to write it in. And, there's no tested law of anyone that wrote it in that failed. We have jurisdiction over our roads, and we can tighten the wrench. Chairman Rupp stated that we can agree to disagree. She doesn't know how this amounts to the Town having immunity from liability, if we write an ordinance that says you have to have a driver's license, but the State says you don't.

Clerk Geyman noted a correction in the distance listed on Waterville Road in the draft ordinance. The border of Summit/Ottawa begins at approximately 1,390 feet. Supervisor Jones made a motion to approve the draft with the following changes: distance on Waterville updated to 1,390 feet, delete section on helmets for hunting/fishing. Chairman Rupp mentioned a wording update for ATV to say ATV/UTV throughout. Supervisor Jones added that to his motion. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Wiedenman. Chairman Rupp also spoke with the attorney and wanted to add verbiage that states this will not be adopted until signs and posts are paid for.

Clerk Geyman asked if there was a deadline question, they have how many years to get the signs? Or having the attorney work on some language? She is required to post the Ordinance within 30 days of adoption. Chairman Rupp stated maybe we could approve it, but not adopt it until the signs are up. Supervisor Jones amended his motion to add that it will be adopted and posted on our website, following when future signs are paid for by the Okauchee Area ATV/UTV club and the placement for the signs throughout the Town are staked out. The amended motion was seconded by Supervisor Wiedenman and carried unanimously.

The next item on the agenda was for consideration of the WLFD burn ordinances. Chairman Rupp stated that the Attorney recommended having Chief review DNR rules for the KM State forest and incorporate them into our ordinance. Supervisor Jones stated that maybe we could just exclude the State Forest in our ordinance. Based on the examples Chief Bowen provided, Supervisor Jones would like to consider number 3, the larger example, we are the most rural municipality and should be the most open. Supervisor Ahrens agrees. Supervisor Wiedenman also agrees, and added that he's not sure what the State owned, DNR rules are but we should follow their verbiage for their lands. Chairman Rupp stated that she liked the middle example regarding prescribed prairie fires. That if someone is having a prescribed prairie fire that they should call the fire department and let them know, not pull permits, but let them know. Norm Hausser in the audience requested to comment. He stated that we have a lot of lumber coming in on trash days that we don't take, and he tells them to bring it home and burn it. Chairman Rupp stated that if someone has a recreational fire, burn pile or prescribed prairie fire that we should ask them to call the fire district to give them a heads up. Although, the example states that sometimes you have to submit a plan a week in advance, and sometimes that's not feasible. Supervisor Ahrens stated that for example number 3, for prescribed prairie fires, they are required to get a permit. Chairman Rupp said one question she has is that leaf and yard burning require a permit, and how would that work. Would residents need to know a week in advance before burning? At one point it was going to be online? Clerk Geyman stated that one benefit of registering online was that if weather advisory or no burn was in effect, then the fire department could let you know. They have your information, they know you're planning on burning, and they could let you know when warnings are in effect. Supervisor Wiedenman stated that there is an online portal on the fire district website with information also, he has used it. He believes it says a 24-hour notice before you're going to burn. These examples say a week for large vegetation or prairie burns. When Supervisor Wiedenman used it, he got a response within a couple of hours that it was received and all good essentially. If anyone has more suggestions or questions for Chief, they should send them to the Clerk to ask him. No action was taken.

Chairman Rupp reminded the board of the upcoming Fire District Joint Owner's meeting on June 12th.

The next item was to review the noise ordinance. The current discussion is to follow the Town of Eagle noise ordinance. Chairman Rupp explained that the attorney advised not to drop decibels as a measurement. Clerk Geyman explained why the Town of Eagle is updating their ordinance to drop the decibel specifications as there is not a State approved device for police to measure decibels with. They are going more towards a complaint driven measurement. Supervisor Jones stated that he would like to keep decibels and that most residents would comply. Chairman Rupp shared that the attorney likes the decibel measure as it is specific. He

did agree not using an “app” to measure decibels, as they are not all equal, but if the Sheriff’s department had a device that is State approved. Supervisor Jones stated, if an officer comes out to give you a noise warning, cease and desist, most residents won’t wait for the fine. They will acclimate and stop. Waiting for an approved device, which the state may not even look at, at least start the track of taking the noise level of the residents down. Supervisor Wiedenman stated that his research on ATV’s decibel levels were about 80/84 to 110. The Chairman allowed a question from an audience member who asked, if the Sheriff arrives to a noise complaint, and does not have a way to measure decibel levels, can he still give a ticket without? Supervisor Wiedenman responded that the current version we are looking at does specify a certain reading of decibel level. He believes there should be language to use a decibel level as well as another measurement, such as their ears, or complaints. Supervisor Jones said the first part of the ordinance specifies certain actions such as accelerating, revving, squealing tires, so he feels it is addressed. Supervisor Ahrens stated that it is still a subjective piece if there is no way to measure decibels. Clerk Geyman stated that the question is that the ordinance is not specific enough for an officer to apply it. Chairman Rupp asked Chris Knapp, resident, regarding his encounter with the noise and Sheriff and was told that our ordinance was not sufficient. Mr. Knapp said that is correct. The Town of Ottawa ordinance was not enforceable because it is too subjective. Supervisor Ahrens stated that they don’t have a device that is objective. Chairman Rupp stated that all the Sheriff wants is something more specific than our current ordinance. A member of the audience spoke that she had the same response from the Sheriff, although hers was not related to vehicle noise, but to loud music. Supervisor Ahrens would like to know when does the Sheriff write a ticket for excessive noise? He will reach out to the Sheriff’s department to confirm what would rise to the level of getting a ticket, as well as confirming if they have a device to measure decibels. Supervisor Jones made a motion to table this item to the July town board meeting to allow Supervisor Ahrens to speak to the Sheriff and Supervisor Wiedenman to finish investigating decibel levels and Supervisor Smukowski to check levels with the gun club. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried.

Chairman Rupp would like to reappoint Clerk/Treasurer Geyman for a two-year term. Supervisor Wiedenman made a motion to approve reappointing Lori Geyman to Clerk/Treasurer for a two-year term. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried unanimously.

The current Contract policy in place was reviewed. Supervisor Ahrens made a motion to change the Town of Ottawa contract policy to allow the Chairman to sign contracts up to \$5,000.00. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones and carried with Chairman Rupp abstaining from voting.

Discussion was had regarding writing a policy for department heads to make purchases for operating. Chairman Rupp does feel it is important for them to have the ability to make purchases to be able to do their jobs. Supervisor Jones wanted clarification on who is a department head. Chairman Rupp responded: Norm for recycle and cemetery, Mike for park and Lori for the office. Supervisor Jones stated he thinks Norm and Mike are department heads, but not Lori, she is the clerk. Supervisor Ahrens asked what are typical expenses? Clerk Geyman replied that for her, it is office supplies, postage, Town Hall supplies, elections. These are all estimated and laid out specifically in the budget line items. As far as monthly, it all varies. Supervisor Jones stated that Mike and Norm have consumables like fuel, Lori doesn’t. She has

stuff for the election. Where the dollar amount for consumables can be set for the park or recycle, they can't go buy a tree with that money. They can purchase for repeatable maintenance. Supervisor Wiedenman stated we need to adjust what we have, but he doesn't want to compartmentalize at the risk of being too specific. Maybe not include names, but rather positions and that it's more important to have just a dollar amount and not much more wording. We have different areas with different purchasing needs, but keep it simple. Supervisor Ahrens stated that if this is about fraud, within his company, each employee has a credit card and a specific limit and he can see everything. Clerk Geyman explained the many checks and balances that are currently in place. His question is what amount is needed. Supervisor Jones agrees with Supervisor Wiedenman on the dollar amount to certain people. Lori, Norm, Mike and himself would have a dollar amount. Maybe include a hashtag of within budget. Chairman Rupp asked what would the board do if someone bought something unauthorized? We don't see it until after it's been purchased. Supervisor Ahrens said maybe to state a dollar amount limited to budgetary items. Supervisor Jones discussed a \$500 amount per item would work. Supervisor Wiedenman said, let's start with a list of departments, instead of personal names. Clerk's office, cemetery sexton, recycle superintendent, park superintendent, highway department. Supervisor Jones made a motion to table this item to the July town board meeting. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Wiedenman and carried.

Discussion was had regarding consideration of a new accounting firm. Clerk Geyman shared information on the two firms the board directed her to speak with at the May board meeting. Supervisor Wiedenman made a motion to contract with Engleson and Associates. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried.

Discussion was had regarding compensation for temporary backhoe and driver assistance. He had volunteered, but there is cost for him to get equipment there. Supervisor Wiedenman made a motion to provide a \$50 gas gift card for appreciation per Saturday as needed until the town backhoe is repaired. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones and carried.

The next item for discussion was Town road crack filling. Supervisor Wiedenman spoke with three companies to better know our options. He will be getting estimates from all three. Supervisor Jones made a motion to table this item to the July town board meeting. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Wiedenman and carried.

Discussion was had regarding park sign replacement quotes. Clerk Geyman shared that Supervisor Smukowski received a quote. Supervisor Jones explained that the posts need replacing also. Supervisor Wiedenman asked if there was any warranty information. Supervisor Jones made a motion to have Supervisor Smukowski get more information on the posts, installation costs and warranty. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried.

Discussion was had regarding the culvert/ditch clearing on Manor House & Tallgrass Ct. Norm Hausser shared that he is getting quotes. Supervisor Jones made a motion to table this item to the July town board meeting to allow Chairman Rupp and Norm to gather more information. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Wiedenman and carried.

Under lakes update, Supervisor Jones stated that Hunters Lake board is going through changes in officers. Supervisor Wiedenman stated that School Section Lake is very much in favor of the artificial wake ban ordinance.

There was no park update.

Supervisor Wiedenman discussed some conversations with potential snowplow drivers. Supervisor Smukowski has also talked with companies but we do not have that information. Supervisor Jones made a motion to table this item to the July town board meeting. Supervisor Ahrens seconded the motion and it carried.

Supervisor Jones made a motion to approve the minutes from the Board of Review meeting from May 13, 2024. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried.

Supervisor Jones made a motion to approve the minutes of the May 13, 2024 Town Board meeting. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Wiedenman and carried.

Supervisor Wiedenman made a motion to approve the minutes of the May 22, 2024 Town Board meeting. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried.

Under correspondence, Supervisor Wiedenman shared that there is a home school soccer clinic taking place on Tuesday mornings in the park for six weeks. There may be a donation made to the town at the end of the program.

Chairman Rupp shared a newsletter from OASC, an e-mail from Deb Tarnow regarding lake regulations, CDBG program, Dousman Chamber information on a beer garden.

Supervisor Wiedenman made a motion to pay bills as listed, in addition to payroll and associated withholding payments for L Geyman, M Barnhart, Amos Kolterjahn, cardmember services and WE energies. His motion was seconded by Supervisor Ahrens and carried.

The following was read aloud:

Upon motion, duly made and seconded and acted upon by roll call vote of the majority of the members present, pursuant to Sec 19.85(1)c) and 19.85(1)e), Wis Statutes, an Executive Session will take place. At the conclusion of the Executive Session, the Town Board will reconvene in Open Session to take action on the matters that were discussed in closed session, regarding 1. Town employee wage considerations and 2. Consideration of the Western Lakes Fire District Intermunicipal Agreement.

Members present: Kurt Ahrens, Michael Jones, Cheryl Rupp, Ben Wiedenman
Members absent: Jim Smukowski

A motion was made by Ben Wiedenman, and seconded by Kurt Ahrens to convene in closed session. A roll call vote was taken on the motion.

The following members voted yes: Ben Wiedenman, Michael Jones, Kurt Ahrens, Cheryl Rupp

The following members voted no: none

A motion was made by Ben Wiedenman and seconded by Michael Jones to end the closed session; the motion carried.

Supervisor Jones made a motion to give a \$1/hr. raise to Amos Kolterjahn effective June 11, 2024. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Wiedenman and carried.

There was no further discussion.

A motion was made by Supervisor Jones and seconded by Supervisor Ahrens to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Lori J. Geyman, WCMC
Clerk/Treasurer, Town of Ottawa